arXiv:1409.7501v1 [math.GR] 26 Sep 2014

THE SOLVABILITY OF GROUPS WITH NILPOTENT MINIMAL COVERINGS

RUSSELL D. BLYTH, FRANCESCO FUMAGALLI, AND MARTA MORIGI

In memory of László Kovács

Abstract. A *covering* of a group is a finite set of proper subgroups whose union is the whole group. A covering is *minimal* if there is no covering of smaller cardinality, and it is *nilpotent* if all its members are nilpotent subgroups. We complete a proof that every group that has a nilpotent minimal covering is solvable, starting from the previously known result that a minimal counterexample is an almost simple finite group.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: 20D99, 20E32, 20D15.
Keywords and phrases: finite group, cover of a group, almost simple group.

1. Introduction

A *covering* (or *cover*) for a group G is a finite collection of proper subgroups whose union is all of G. A *minimal covering* for G is a covering which has minimal cardinality among all the coverings of G. The size of a minimal covering of a group G is denoted $\sigma(G)$ and is called the *covering number* of G. Since the first half of the last century a lot of attention has been given to determining which numbers can occur as covering numbers for groups, and, when possible, to characterize groups having the same value of $\sigma(G)$. The earlier works date back to G. Scorza ([24]) and D. Greco ([13], [14], [15]). The terminology "minimal covering" appears in the celebrated paper [26] of M. J. Tomkinson. Also worth mentioning are [16], [3] and [9]. More recent works determine bounds, and also exact values of $\sigma(G)$, for various classes of finite groups (see for instance [4], [21], [23], [17] and [18]).

Here we are interested in minimal coverings of groups by proper subgroups with restricted properties. For example, in [5, Theorem 2] R. Bryce and L. Serena show that a group that has a minimal covering consisting of abelian subgroups is solvable of very restricted structure. In [6] the same authors treat the case of groups that admit a minimal covering with all members nilpotent, that is, a *nilpotent minimal covering*. They state the following:

Conjecture. *Only solvable groups can admit a nilpotent minimal covering.*

Their main result ([6, Proposition 2.1]) is a reduction to the almost simple case, namely if there is an insolvable group with a nilpotent minimal covering then there is a finite almost simple such group. Bryce and Serena also show that several classes of finite almost simple groups (among them the alternating and symmetric groups, the projective special/general linear groups, the Suzuki groups, and the 26 sporadic groups) do not have nilpotent minimal coverings.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *No finite almost simple group has a nilpotent minimal covering.*

As an immediate corollary we complete the proof of the aforementioned conjecture.

Theorem 2. *Every group that has a nilpotent minimal covering is solvable.*

The structure of solvable groups with such a minimal covering is well understood and can be found in [5, Theorem 11].

A reasonable indication of the truth of Theorem 1 is suggested by the fact that in a finite non abelian simple group the order of the largest nilpotent subgroups is always much smaller than the order of the group (see [27]).

Our proof of Theorem 1 makes use of the classification of finite non abelian simple groups, and it can be outlined as follows. We start by taking a minimal order counterexample G, which is therefore an almost simple group, say $S \leq G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(S)$, where S is a non abelian simple group. If S is a group of Lie type we reduce to the cases when S has Lie rank one or twisted Lie rank one, or S has Lie rank two and G contains a graph, or a graph-field, automorphism of S. Then we reduce to G/S cyclic and we use a technical lemma (Lemma 5) to eliminate the possibility that G is itself not simple (Proposition 6). Finally we prove that no finite simple group can be a counterexample (Proposition 7).

Recently nilpotent coverings and their connections with maximal non-nilpotent subsets in finite simple groups of Lie type have been studied in [2].

The notation of this paper is standard and mostly follows the book [12]. We remark that for the classical groups we have preferred to use the 'classical' notation rather than Artin's single letter notation. Therefore we use $PSL(n,q)$ instead of $A_{n-1}(q)$ or $L_n(q)$, and similarly $PSp(2n,q)$ for $B_{2n}(q)$ and $PSU(n,q)$ for ${}^2A_{n-1}(q)$ or $U_n(q)$. Note also that whenever we write $PSU(n,q)$ we mean that this group is defined over the field of order $q^2 = p^f$ (p a prime). Differently from [12], we denote the Suzuki and the Ree groups over the fields $\mathbb{F}_{2^f}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{3^f}$ (f odd), by ${}^2B_2(2^f)$, ${}^2G_2(3^f)$, instead of ${}^2B_2(2^{\frac{f}{2}})$ and ${}^2G_2(3^{\frac{f}{2}})$.

2. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

We start with a simple but important observation. Assume that $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_\sigma\}$ is a minimal covering of a group G, that is,

$$G = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \ldots \cup A_\sigma$$

and G is not the setwise union of fewer than σ proper subgroups. Then for every $1 \leq i < j \leq \sigma$, $\langle A_i, A_j \rangle = G$, since otherwise we could replace the subgroups A_i and A_j in $\mathcal{A}$ with $\langle A_i, A_j \rangle$, obtaining a covering of G with fewer than σ members. We will use this simple fact often.

The proof of Theorem 1 depends on understanding the structure of the finite simple groups of Lie type and the corresponding simple linear algebraic groups. Lemma 2 is a key step in our proof. We first recall some important facts regarding algebraic groups.

A *regular unipotent element* of an algebraic group $\overline{G}$ is an element g of $\overline{G}$ such that $\dim(C_{\overline{G}}(g)) = \mathrm{rk}(\overline{G})$. The following result can be found in [8], Propositions 5.1.2 and 5.1.3.

Proposition 1. *Let $\overline{G}$ be a connected reductive group. Then $\overline{G}$ admits regular unipotent elements and these elements form a unique conjugacy class in $\overline{G}$. Moreover, if u is a unipotent element of $\overline{G}$, then the following conditions on u are equivalent:*

(a) *u is regular,*
(b) *u lies in a unique Borel subgroup of $\overline{G}$, and*
(c) *u is conjugate to an element of the form $\prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+} x_\alpha(\lambda_\alpha)$ with $\lambda_\alpha \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ (where Φ^+ and Δ denote, respectively, a positive system of roots and its fundamental system).*

In particular, if $\overline{G}$ is a simple linear algebraic group over an algebraically closed field in characteristic p and F is a Frobenius endomorphism of $\overline{G}$, then the finite group of Lie type $G = \overline{G}^F$ contains p-elements (that we still call *regular unipotent*) which have the property that each lies in a unique Sylow p-subgroup of G (see [8, Proposition 5.1.7]).

Lemma 2. *Let G be an almost simple group whose socle S is a group of Lie type in characteristic p. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\sigma}$ is a nilpotent minimal covering of G. Then the following hold:*

(a) *σ is greater than the number $n_p(S)$ of Sylow p-subgroups of S, and*
(b) *if U is a Sylow p-subgroup of S, then $N_G(U)$ is a maximal subgroup of G.*

Proof. Let u be a regular unipotent element of S and let U be the unique Sylow p-subgroup of S containing u. Assume that $u \in A_i$. Since A_i is nilpotent, $O_{p'}(A_i) \leq C_G(u)$; in particular $O_{p'}(A_i)$ normalizes U. We now prove that $O_p(A_i) \leq N_G(U)$. Let $y \in O_p(A_i)$. Then y normalizes $O_p(A_i) \cap S$, and since $u \in O_p(A_i) \cap S = (O_p(A_i) \cap S)^y \leq U^y$ and U is the unique Sylow p-subgroup of S containing u, we have $U^y = U$, as we wanted. It follows that $A_i \leq N_G(U)$. As $\langle A_i, A_j\rangle = G$ for $i \neq j$, two different members of $\mathcal{A}$ cannot normalize the same Sylow p-subgroup of S. This shows that $\sigma \geq |Syl_p(S)|$. Moreover, since a finite group is never the union of conjugates of a unique proper subgroup ([11, Theorem 1]), in fact $\sigma > |Syl_p(S)|$.

Assume now that $N_G(U) < K < G$. Then for every $k \in K \setminus N_G(U)$, the element u^k is still regular unipotent in S and lies in $U^k \neq U$. If $u \in A_i$ and $u^k \in A_j$, we have that for $i \neq j$, the subgroup A_j is contained in $N_G(U)^k$ and

$$G = \langle A_i, A_j\rangle \leq \langle N_G(U), N_G(U)^k\rangle \leq K,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $N_G(U)$ is maximal in G. □

We next determine in which of these groups G the normalizer of a Sylow p-group of S is a maximal subgroup of G.

Proposition 3. *Let G be a finite almost simple group whose socle S is a group of Lie type in characteristic p. Let U be a Sylow p-subgroup of S. Then $N_G(U)$ is maximal in G if and only if one of the following holds:*

(a) *$S \in \{PSL(2,q), PSU(3,q), {}^2B_2(q), {}^2G_2(q)\}$, or*

(b) $S \in \{PSL(3,q), PSp(4,2^f), G_2(3^f)\}$ *and* G *contains a graph or graph-field automorphism of* S.

Proof. Assume first that $G = S$ is simple. Then $B = N_S(U)$ is a Borel subgroup of S and, by general BN-pair theory ([7, Proposition 8.2.1 and Theorem 13.5.4]), the lattice of overgroups of B in S consists of B, the parabolic subgroups of S, and S. In particular, $N_S(U)$ is maximal in S if and only if it is the unique parabolic subgroup of S, which is the case exactly when S is of Lie rank one or, respectively, of twisted Lie rank one. Only the finite simple groups listed in (a) have this property.

Assume now that $G > S$ and let S^* be the extension of S by the diagonal and field automorphisms of S. The group S^* has a BN-pair whose Borel subgroup is $B^* = N_{S^*}(U)$, since to construct S^* from S we can choose diagonal and field automorphisms that normalize every root subgroup of U. Of course, the BN-pair restricts to $G \cap S^*$. Therefore, if $G \leq S^*$, we have immediately that $N_G(U)$ is maximal in G precisely when G is an extension of some simple group that appears in (a).

Suppose then that $G \not\leq S^*$, that is, that G contains a graph or graph-field automorphism of S. Note that this happens exactly when S is one of the following (see [7] or [10]):

$$PSL(n,q),\ n \geq 3, \quad PSp(4,2^f), \quad D_n(q), \quad G_2(3^f), \quad F_4(2^f), \quad E_6(q).$$

Moreover, non-trivial graph automorphisms, modulo the field automorphisms, always have order 2 or 3 (order 3 occurs only in the case $S = D_4(q)$), and such automorphisms interchange the fundamental root subgroups. By looking at the action of such graph automorphisms on the Dynkin diagrams, only when the Lie rank of S is two can it be the case that $N_G(U)$ is maximal. This condition excludes all the possible groups except when S is one of the following: $PSL(3,q)$, $PSp(4,2^f)$ or $G_2(3^f)$. Finally we claim that in these groups $N_G(U)$ is indeed a maximal subgroup of G. By our earlier argument, the group $G^* = G \cap S^*$ has a BN-pair with Borel subgroup $B^* = N_{G^*}(U)$, whose overgroups are B^*, P_1^*, P_2^* and G^*, where P_1^* and P_2^* are the meets of G with the extensions, by diagonal and field automorphisms, of the two parabolic subgroups of S that contain B. Now $|G : G^*| = 2$ and any element of $N_G(U) \setminus N_{G^*}(U)$ interchanges P_1^* and P_2^*, since it interchanges the two fundamental root subgroups. Suppose that M is a maximal subgroup of G containing $N_G(U)$. Then $M \cap S^*$ contains $B^* = N_{G^*}(U)$, and so $M \cap S^* \in \{B^*, P_1^*, P_2^*, G^*\}$. By the Frattini argument, $G = SN_G(U)$. Thus there is an element g in $N_G(U) \setminus N_{G^*}(U)$, such that, by the above, g interchanges P_1^* and P_2^*. But g normalizes $M \cap S^*$; thus $M \cap S^* = B^*$ or S^*. If $M \cap S^* = S^*$, then $M = S^*N_G(U) = G$, a contradiction. Hence $M \cap S^* = B^*$ and so $M = N_G(U)$. We conclude that $N_G(U)$ is maximal in G in all these cases. □

We make a further reduction that applies to any minimal counterexample to Theorem 1.

Lemma 4. *If* G *is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1, where* $S \leq G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ *and* S *is a finite non abelian simple group, then* G/S *is a cyclic group.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that G has a nilpotent minimal covering with $\sigma = \sigma(G)$ subgroups and that G/S is not cyclic. Note that this assumption automatically excludes the cases when S is an alternating group A_n with $n \neq 6$ or

a sporadic group, since in those cases $|G/S| = 2$. Thus S is a simple group of Lie type, and, by Lemma 2 and Proposition 3, the pair (G, S) is one that appears in the statement of Proposition 3. We may also assume S is not one of ${}^2B_2(2^f), {}^2G_2(3^f), PSp(4, 2^f)$, or $G_2(q)$, since for these groups $\mathrm{Out}\,(S)$ is cyclic of order f or $2f$. Trivially, we may cover G/S using all its non-trivial cyclic subgroups, so in particular $\sigma(G/S) < |G/S|$. Since $\sigma \leq \sigma(G/S)$, we deduce that $\sigma < |\mathrm{Out}\,(S)\,|$. By Lemma 2, then, we have that $n_p(S) < |\mathrm{Out}\,(S)\,|$ (where, as before, $n_p(G)$ denotes the number of Sylow p-subgroups of S, that is, the index of a Borel subgroup of S in S). But for the remaining possible groups listed in Proposition 3 we have

(a) $n_p(PSL(2,q)) = q + 1$ and $|\mathrm{Out}(PSL(2,q))| = df$, where $q = p^f$ and $d = (2, q-1)$,
(b) $n_p(PSL(3,q)) = (q+1)(q^2+q+1)$ and $|\mathrm{Out}(PSL(3,q))| = 2df$, where $q = p^f$ and $d = (3, q-1)$, and
(c) $n_p(PSU(3,q)) = q^3 + 1$ and $|\mathrm{Out}(PSU(3,q))| = df$, where $q^2 = p^f$ and $d = (3, q+1)$,

and it is straightforward to show in each case that $n_p(S) > |\mathrm{Out}(S)|$. □

The following technical lemma is the key ingredient to reduce to the case that a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1 is necessarily a finite simple group.

Lemma 5. *Let G be an almost simple group with socle S such that G/S is a cyclic group. Assume also that if S is of Lie type, then the pair (G, S) appears in the statement of Proposition 3. Then there exist some element $s \in S$ and some maximal subgroup K of G containing S such that g.c.d.$(|s|, |G/K|) = 1$ and $G \neq KC_G(s)$.*

Proof. Let $S = A_n$ be an alternating group, with $n \geq 5$. If $n \neq 6$, or $n = 6$ and $G = S_6$, take s to be an n-cycle if n is odd, or an $(n-1)$-cycle if n is even, and take $K = S$. In both cases $|s|$ is odd and $C_{S_n}(s) \leq A_n$. If $n = 6$ and G is a cyclic extension of A_6 distinct from S_6, we may always take s to be a 3-cycle (see [10]).

If S is a sporadic group, then $\mathrm{Out}\,(S)$ is always cyclic of order at most two. The following table lists possible choices for the order of s, depending on the pair (G, S) when $G \neq S$ (our reference is [10]). Then s can be chosen to be any element of the given order.

S	M_{12}	M_{22}	J_2	HS	J_3	McL	He	Suz	$O'N$	Fi_{22}	HN	Fi'_{24}
$\lvert s\rvert$	11	11	5	11	19	7	17	13	31	13	19	29

We assume now that G is a cyclic extension of a finite simple group S of Lie type in characteristic p and that the pair (G, S) satisfies the conclusions of Proposition 3. Let δ be a diagonal automorphism of S of maximal order d, modulo S, and set $\widehat{S} = S\langle\delta\rangle$. Let φ be a field automorphism of $\widehat{S}$ of order f, where $q = p^f$ except when S is unitary, when $q^2 = p^f$. Set $S^* = \widehat{S}\langle\varphi\rangle$. Then

$$S \leq \widehat{S} \leq S^* \leq \mathrm{Aut}\,(S)\,,$$

where the indices are respectively d, f and g, where $g \in \{1, 2\}$, for the groups under consideration. We treat separately the following three cases: a) $G \leq \widehat{S}$, b) $G \leq S^* \setminus \widehat{S}$ and c) $G \not\leq S^*$.

a) Assume $G \leq \widehat{S}$.
According to Proposition 3, $S \in \{PSL(2,q),\ PSU(3,q)\}$ and $G = \widehat{S}$ with the index

d of S in G being respectively 2 or 3. In particular, p is coprime with $|G/S|$. Let s be a regular unipotent element of S. Since by [29, Lemma 3.1] (respectively by [25, Table 2]) we have that $C_G(s) < S$, taking $K = S$ we have that $G \neq KC_G(s)$, as we wanted.

b) Assume $G \leq S^* \setminus \widehat{S}$.
According to Proposition 3, S is one of the following groups:

$$PSL(2,q),\ PSU(3,q),\ {}^2B_2(q),\ {}^2G_2(q).$$

Note that in the last two cases q is respectively 2^f or 3^f, with f odd and $f \geq 3$ (since ${}^2B_2(2)$ and ${}^2G_2(3)$ are not simple groups). Moreover, as $G \not\leq \widehat{S}$, we always have $f > 1$ in this case.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be the algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{F}_p$ of order p. We first claim that for any of the aforementioned simple groups S there is a least integer m (whose values are displayed in Table A) and an embedding

$$\iota : \widehat{S} \longrightarrow PGL(m, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p),$$

such that φ is the restriction to S of the standard Frobenius automorphism of $PGL(m, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, which later we will still call φ. This claim is trivial when $S = PSL(2,q)$ or $S = PSU(3,q)$, respectively, when $m = 2$ or 3 and ι is the natural inclusion. For the case $S = {}^2B_2(2^f)$, note that S is the centralizer in $S_0 = PSp(4, 2^f)$ of a graph involution x ([20, Proposition 2.4.4]) and that

$$\mathrm{Aut}\,(S_0) = \mathrm{Inn}\,(S_0) : (\langle\varphi\rangle \times \langle x\rangle) \simeq S_0 : (C_f \times C_2).$$

Since the existence of an embedding ι with the aforementioned property, of S_0 into $PGL(4, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$ is guaranteed, the same is true for ${}^2B_2(2^f)$. Similarly, $S = {}^2G_2(3^f)$ is the centralizer in $S_0 = P\Omega^+(8, 3^f)$ of the full group of graph automorphisms of S_0 (see [19]). As

$$\mathrm{Aut}\,(S_0) = \mathrm{Inn}\,(S_0) : (\langle\varphi\rangle \times \langle x, y\rangle) \simeq S_0 : (C_f \times S_3),$$

for suitable graph automorphisms x and y, and such an embedding ι exists for $P\Omega^+(8, 3^f)$ into $PGL(8, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_3)$, the same is true for ${}^2G_2(3^f)$ and our claim is proved.

Now we assume that there exists a primitive prime divisor of $p^{fz} - 1$, with z as in Table A, and let r be such a prime divisor. Note that r divides the order of S. Also, trivially, $r \neq d$, and, if r divides f, then, writing $f = rf'$, we have $0 \equiv p^{fz} - 1 \equiv p^{f'z} - 1 \pmod{r}$, which contradicts the fact that r is a primitive prime divisor of $p^{fz} - 1$. Therefore r is coprime with $|G/S|$. Let t_1 be an element of S of order r. Note that t_1 is a power of a generator of a cyclic maximal torus T of S, whose order is displayed in Table A. Suppose that $C_G(t_1)$ contains an element of the form $g\delta^h\varphi^k$, with $g \in S$, and $0 \leq h \leq d-1$, $0 < k \leq f-1$. Then

$$t_1^{g\delta^h} = t_1^{\varphi^{-k}}.$$

This, of course, implies that

$$(\iota(t_1^{g\delta^h}))^L = (\iota(t_1)^{\varphi^{-k}})^L,$$

where $L = PGL(m, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and $(y)^L$ denotes the L-conjugacy class of $y \in L$. Now $\iota(t_1)$ is L-conjugate to the projection $\bar{\alpha}$ of a diagonal $m \times m$ matrix α, and φ sends $\bar{\alpha}$ to its p-th power $\bar{\alpha}^p$. As $\iota(g\delta^h) \in L$, it follows that

$$(\iota(t_1^{g\delta^h}))^L = (\iota(t_1))^L = (\bar{\alpha})^L = (\bar{\alpha}^{p^{-k}})^L.$$

We want to prove that if $0 < k < f$ the two L-classes $(\bar{\alpha})^L$ and $(\bar{\alpha}^{p^{-k}})^L$ are different. Note that as t_1 has order r and the matrix $\alpha \in SL(m, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is determined modulo the scalars, we can choose α in such a way that its eigenvalues are either 1 or have order r in the multiplicative group of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Moreover, α has at least one eigenvalue μ of order r. Note that μ belongs to the field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{fz}}$, but to no smaller field. Since $\iota(t_1) \in PSL(m, p^f)$, the characteristic polynomial χ of α has coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$, so $\mu, \mu^{p^f}, \ldots, \mu^{p^{f(z-1)}}$ are all distinct roots of χ. If $S \neq {}^2G_2(q)$ then χ has degree $m = z$ and the eigenvalues of α are precisely $\mu, \mu^{p^f}, \ldots, \mu^{p^{f(z-1)}}$. If $S = {}^2G_2(q)$, then $m = 6$, $z = 8$, and χ factors as $\chi = \chi_1\chi_2$, where χ_1 is the minimum polynomial of μ and has degree 6, and χ_2 has degree 2. Now the roots of χ_2, which are eigenvalues of α, cannot have order r, because $r \nmid q^2 - 1$, so they must be 1, and the eigenvalues of α are: $\mu, \mu^q, \ldots, \mu^{q^5}, 1, 1$. The non-zero entries of the matrix $\alpha^{p^{-k}}$ are the p^{-k}-th powers of the eigenvalues of α and it is straightforward to see that if $0 < k < f$ it cannot happen that $\alpha = \lambda\alpha^{p^{-k}}$ for some $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. This proves that $C_G(t_1) \leq G \cap \widehat{S}$. Thus, by taking $s = t_1$ and K any maximal subgroup containing $\widehat{S} \cap G$, we have that $G \neq KC_G(s)$, as we wanted.

We consider now the cases in which no primitive prime divisor of $p^{fz} - 1$ exists. Then by Zsigmondy's Theorem (see [30]), either $(p, zf) = (2, 6)$ or p is a Mersenne prime and $zf = 2$. The last condition cannot happen, since in this case both z and f are greater than one. The first condition reduces to considering the cases when S is either $PSL(2, 8)$ or $PSU(3, 2)$. But $PSU(3, 2)$ is not simple, while if $S = PSL(2, 8)$, then $G = \mathrm{Aut}\,(S) = S^*$, and we can take s to be an element of S of order 7 and $K = S$ (see [10]).

Table A

S	$\lvert T\rvert$, T a max. torus of S	d	$\lvert\mathrm{Out}\,(S)\rvert$	z	m
$PSL(2,q)$	$(q+1)/d$	$(q-1,2)$	df	2	2
$PSU(3,q)$	$(q^2-q+1)/d$	$(q+1,3)$	df	3	3
${}^2B_2(q)$	$\begin{cases} q+\sqrt{2q}+1 & \text{or} \\ q-\sqrt{2q}+1 \end{cases}$	1	f	4	4
${}^2G_2(q)$	$\begin{cases} q+\sqrt{3q}+1 & \text{or} \\ q-\sqrt{3q}+1 \end{cases}$	1	f	6	8

c) Assume $G \not\leq S^*$.
Then, according to Proposition 3, S is one of the following groups:

$$PSL(3,q),\ PSp(4,2^f),\ G_2(3^f),$$

with f an integer greater than 1, and $|G : G^*| = 2$, where $G^* = G \cap S^*$. We choose s to be a generator of a cyclic maximal torus T of S, whose order is respectively $(q^2+q+1)/d$, q^2+1, or q^2-q+1, according to whether S is $PSL(3,q)$, $PSp(4,2^f)$ or $G_2(3^f)$, and $K = G^*$. Note that $|s| = |T|$ is odd, and thus coprime with $|G/K|$. We first claim that $|C_{G^*}(T)|$ is odd. If not, let y be an involution in $C_{G^*}(T)$. Since $|C_{\widehat{S}}(T)| = d|T|$ is odd, $y \notin \widehat{S}$. By Proposition 4.9.1 in [12], we have that f is even and y is $\widehat{S}$-conjugate to a field automorphism of order two. In particular, $C_S(y)$ is isomorphic respectively to $PSL(3, p^{f/2})$, $PSp(4, 2^{f/2})$ or $G_2(3^{f/2})$. In each of these cases, by order reasons, $C_S(y)$ cannot contain T. Thus $|C_{G^*}(T)|$ is odd, and if we argue by contradiction assuming $G = G^*C_G(s)$, there exists some involution x in $C_G(s) \setminus G^*$. Again by Proposition 4.9.1 in [12], we have that $C_G(x)$ is isomorphic

respectively to $PSU(3,q)$, ${}^2B_2(q)$ or ${}^2G_2(q)$. But none of these groups contains a cyclic maximal torus T of S, a contradiction. □

The following proposition eliminates the possibility that a minimal counterexample to Theorem 1 can be an almost simple group but not simple.

Proposition 6. *Let G be an almost simple group which is not simple. Then G does not admit a nilpotent minimal covering.*

Proof. Suppose that G has a nilpotent minimal covering

$$G = A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_\sigma,$$

with $\sigma = \sigma(G)$, and all A_i nilpotent. Suppose further that $S < G \leq \mathrm{Aut}\,(S)$, where S is a finite non abelian simple group. If S is of Lie type, then by Lemma 2 the pair (G, S) is one that appears in Proposition 3. Also by Lemma 4, we can assume that G/S is cyclic. According to Lemma 5, we may choose an element s in S and a maximal subgroup K of G containing S such that $|s|$ is coprime with the prime $r = |G/K|$ and $G \neq KC_G(s)$. Note that r is prime since G/S is cyclic. Let $s \in A_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, \sigma\}$. We claim that A_i lies in K. For if not let $\alpha \in A_i \setminus K$, so that $G = K\langle\alpha\rangle$ by the maximality of K. If $|\alpha| = r^a v$, with r not dividing v, we also have that $G = K\langle\alpha^v\rangle$, and, moreover, that α^v is an element of A_i of order r^a, which is coprime with $|s|$. Thus, since A_i is nilpotent, $\alpha^v \in C_G(s)$, forcing G to be equal to $KC_G(s)$, contradicting the choices of s and K. Thus $A_i \leq K$. We may choose some $g \in S$ such that $s^g \notin A_i$. Such a $g \in S$ exists, for otherwise by the simplicity of S we would have that $\langle s^g | g \in S\rangle = S \leq A_i$, contradicting the nilpotence of A_i. Suppose that $s^g \in A_j$. Then, arguing as before, $A_j \leq K$, and so we conclude that $G = \langle A_i, A_j\rangle \leq K$, a contradiction. □

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 7. *No finite simple group S admits a nilpotent minimal covering.*

Proof. In [6] the cases S alternating and sporadic are completely settled. We can assume therefore that S is a finite simple group of Lie type in characteristic p. By Lemma 2 and Proposition 3, S lies in one of the following families:

$$PSL(2,q), PSU(3,q), {}^2B_2(q), {}^2G_2(q).$$

The two families of projective special linear groups $PSL(2,q)$ and the Suzuki groups ${}^2B_2(q)$ have also been settled in [6] (respectively Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.3). We need only to analyze the two remaining families.

Let therefore $S = PSU(3,q)$, with $q > 2$, or $S = {}^2G_2(q)$, with $q = 3^f$, where f is odd and $f \geq 3$. Note that $|PSU(3,q)| = \frac{1}{d} \cdot q^3(q^2-1)(q^3+1)$, where $d = (3, q+1)$, and $|{}^2G_2(q)| = q^3(q-1)(q^3+1)$. Assume first that there is an odd prime r dividing $q-1$ (observe that this is always the case when $S = {}^2G_2(q)$). Since $q \equiv 1 \pmod r$, we have that r is coprime with $|S|/(q-1)$. Thus if R is a Sylow r-subgroup of S, R lies in a Levi complement H of a Borel subgroup $B = UH$. In particular, R is cyclic, $R = \langle x\rangle$, and $H = C_S(R)$, by [25, Table 2] for $PSU(3,q)$, and [28], or [22, Lemma 2.2] for ${}^2G_2(q)$. If $x \in A_i$, then, since A_i is nilpotent and the Sylow r-subgroups are cyclic, $A_i \leq C_S(x) = H$. Now, let $u \in U$ be a regular unipotent element of S. Then $C_S(u)$ is a p-subgroup (again by [25, Table 2] and [28]). In particular if $u \in A_j$, then $A_j \leq U$. But then we get a contradiction, since $\langle A_i, A_j\rangle \leq B$.

It remains to consider the case when $S = PSU(3,q)$ and $q-1$ is a power of 2. Note that this happens if and only if $q = 9$ or q is a Fermat prime, say $q = 2^m + 1$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\sigma}$ be a nilpotent minimal covering of $S = PSU(3,q)$. The centralizer of any regular unipotent element u of S is a p-subgroup ([25, Table 2]), and therefore there exists a unique maximal nilpotent subgroup of S containing u, and this subgroup is a Sylow p-subgroup of S. We may therefore assume that all the Sylow p-subgroups of S appear as members of the nilpotent covering $\mathcal{A}$. Now let U be a Sylow p-subgroup and let H be a Levi complement of it in a Borel subgroup $N_S(U) = UH$. In particular, H is cyclic of order $(q^2-1)/d$. Let h be a generating element of H and assume that $h \in A_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, \sigma\}$. If $A_i = H$ then we can replace the subgroups U and A_i of $\mathcal{A}$ with the subgroup $N_G(U)$, obtaining a covering of S with fewer than σ members, which contradicts the minimality of σ. Therefore A_i must be a nilpotent subgroup of S that strictly contains H. Now the Sylow 2-subgroup of H is cyclic (of order 16 if $q = 9$ and 2^{m+1} if $q = 2^m + 1$) and so it is normal of index 2 in a Sylow 2-subgroup of S. In particular, the involution w of H is a central element of A_i, that is, $A_i \leq C_S(w)$. By Proposition 4 (iii) in [1, Chapter II, Section 2], $C_S(w)$ is a central extension of a cyclic group of order $\frac{q+1}{d}$ by a group isomorphic to $PGL(2,q)$. Note that H^{q-1} is the central subgroup of $C_S(w)$ of order $(q+1)/d$. Now the only nilpotent subgroups of $C_S(w)$ that strictly contain a cyclic subgroup of order $(q^2-1)/d$ are central extensions of $C_{\frac{q+1}{d}}$ by a Sylow 2-subgroup, say P, of $PGL(2,q)$ (and so also of S). Therefore A_i is a group isomorphic to $C_{\frac{q+1}{2d}} \times P$, and it contains H as a subgroup of index two. Since H is not normal in $C_S(w)$ we can find an element $g \in C_S(w) \setminus N_S(H)$ and consider the element h^g. Assume that $h^g \in A_j$. Arguing as before, we have that either $A_j = \langle h^g \rangle = H^g$, or A_j is a subgroup of $C_S(w)^g = C_S(w)$ isomorphic to A_i. In the first case we obtain a contradiction by replacing the subgroups U^g and A_j in $\mathcal{A}$ with $(UH)^g$. In the latter case we have that $A_j \neq A_i$, since $H \neq H^g$ and a group isomorphic to A_i has a unique cyclic maximal subgroup of index two. But then $G = \langle A_i, A_j \rangle \leq C_S(w)$, a contradiction. □

3. Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to Ron Solomon for his precious help in dealing with the groups of Lie type and for the constant encouragement he gave us. The first-listed author is thankful for the generous hospitality of the Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica of the Università di Firenze during his visit there, when this work was undertaken. The third-listed author is partially supported by the "National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures, and their Applications" (GNSAGA - INDAM).

References

[1] J. L. Alperin, R. Brauer, D. Gorenstein, Finite groups with quasi-dihedral and wreathed Sylow 2-subgroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **151** (1970), 1–261.

[2] A. Azad, J. R. Britnell, N. Gill, Nilpotent covers and non-nilpotent subsets of finite groups of Lie type. Preprint (2013). arXiv:1305.3748v2.

[3] M. Bruckheimer, A. C. Bryan, A. Muir, Groups which are the union of three subgroups. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **77** (1970), no. 1, 52–57.

[4] R. A. Bryce, V. Fedri, L. Serena, Subgroup coverings of some linear groups. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **60** (1999), no. 2, 227–238.

[5] R. A. Bryce, L. Serena, A note on minimal coverings of groups by subgroups. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **71** (2001), no. 2, 159–168.
[6] R. A. Bryce, L. Serena, Some remarks on groups with nilpotent minimal covers. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **85** (2008), no. 3, 353–365.
[7] R. W. Carter, *Simple groups of Lie type*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 28. John Wiley & Sons, London-New York-Sydney, 1972.
[8] R. W. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type. Conjugacy classes and complex characters*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1985.
[9] J. H. E. Cohn, On n-sum groups. *Math. Scand.* **75** (1994), no. 1, 44–58.
[10] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*, Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.
[11] B. Fein, W. M. Kantor, M. Schacher, Relative Brauer groups. II, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **328** (1981), 39–57.
[12] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R. Solomon, The classification of the finite simple groups. Number 3, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs,* **40.3**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
[13] D. Greco, I gruppi finiti che sono somma di quattro sottogruppi. *Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli (4)* **18** (1951), no. 4, 74–85.
[14] D. Greco, Su alcuni gruppi finiti che sono somma di cinque sottogruppi. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova* **22** (1953), 313–333.
[15] D. Greco, Sui gruppi che sono somma di quattro o cinque sottogruppi. *Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli (4)* **23** (1956), 49–59.
[16] S. Haber, A. Rosenfeld, Groups as unions of proper subgroups. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **66** (1959), no. 6, 491–494.
[17] P. E. Holmes, Subgroup coverings of some sporadic simple groups. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **113** (2006), no. 6, 1204–1213.
[18] P. E. Holmes, A. Maróti, Pairwise generating and covering sporadic simple groups. *J. Algebra* **324** (2010), no. 1, 25–35.
[19] P. B. Kleidman, The maximal subgroups of the Chevalley groups $G_2(q)$ with q odd, the Ree groups ${}^2G_2(q)$, and their automorphism groups. *J. Algebra* **117** (1988), no. 1, 30–71.
[20] P. B. Kleidman, M. Liebeck, The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, **129**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
[21] M. S. Lucido, On the covers of finite groups. *Groups St. Andrews 2001 in Oxford, Vol. II*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **305**, *Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge* (2003), 395–399.
[22] M. S. Lucido, On the n-covers of exceptional groups of Lie type. *Groups St. Andrews 2005, Vol. II*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **340**, *Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge* (2007), 621–623.
[23] A. Maróti, Covering symmetric groups with proper subgroups. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **110** (2005), no. 1, 97–111.
[24] G. Scorza, I gruppi che possono pensarsi come somma di tre sottogruppi. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital* **5** (1926), no. 1, 216–218.
[25] W. A. Simpson, J. S. Frame, The character tables for $SL(3,q)$, $SU(3,q^2)$, $PSL(3,q)$, $PSU(3,q^2)$, *Canad. J Math.* **25** (1973), 486–494.
[26] M. J. Tomkinson, Groups as the union of proper subgroups. *Math. Scand.* **81** (1997), no. 2, 191–198.
[27] E. P. Vdovin, Large nilpotent subgroups of finite simple groups. *Algebra and Logica* **39** (2000), no. 5, 301–312.
[28] H. N. Ward, On Ree's series of simple groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **121** (1966) 62–89.
[29] D. White, Character degrees of extensions of $PSL_2(q)$ and $SL_2(q)$, *J. Group Theory* **16** (2013), no. 1, 1–33.
[30] K. Zsigmondy, Zur Theorie der Potenzreste. *Monatsh. für Math. u. Phys.* **3** (1892), 265–284.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Saint Louis University, 220 N. Grand Blvd., St. Louis, MO 63103, U.S.A.
E-mail address, R. D. Blyth: blythrd@slu.edu

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica "Ulisse Dini", Università di Firenze, Viale Morgagni, 67/A, 50134 Firenze, Italy
E-mail address, F. Fumagalli: fumagalli@math.unifi.it

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna, Piazza di Porta San Donato 5, 40126 Bologna, Italy
E-mail address, M. Morigi: marta.morigi@unibo.it